

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 2240 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

M.A. No. 588/2015 IN O.A. No. 435/2014.
(Sub :- Promotion)

29 JUN 2016

- 1 Shri Santosh Y. Gawade,
- 2 Shri Ajinath M. Bade,
- 3 Shri Ganesh A. Kamble,
- 4 Shri Rajendra P. Salvi,
Add. Police Constable, Bukkal No. 2384,131,1683 & 960904, Mumbai
Railway Police, Traffic Branch, Mumbai Central Police Station, Mumbai.

....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- 1 The State of Maharashtra, through the Addl. Chief Secretary, Home Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 2 D.G.P., State of Maharashtra, Having his Office of S.B.S. Marg, Colaba, Mumbai.
- 3 Additional D.G.P., Training and Special Squad, M.S., Mumbai.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

*The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 24th day of **June, 2016** has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Shri A.R. Pitle, Advocate for the Applicants.
Ms. N.G. Gohad, holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, P.O., for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.**
HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).

DATE : **24.06.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over leaf.

Malik
29/6/2016
Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

10

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**RA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20.

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

.....)

versus

State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

.....)

am,
Tribunal's orders

M.A.588/2015 in O.A.435/2014

Shri R.V. Gawade & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.R. Pitale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The application for restoration of the MA is allowed. The order dismissing it for default is set aside and the OA is taken up for consideration for consideration.

Shri Pitale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks leave to withdraw this OA with liberty to file a fresh one depending upon the orders made by the Hon'ble High Court in the pending Writ Petition No.8919/2014 (Pradeep Vs. Government of Maharashtra and others) with Writ Petition No.8843/2014 and Writ Petition No.10877/2014. The leave to withdraw the OA with liberty as mentioned above is hereby granted and the OA is disposed of with no order as to costs.

[Redacted signature]
sd/ 24.06.16

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
24.06.2016

[Redacted signature]
sd/ 24.06.16
(Rajiv Aggarwal)
Vice-Chairman
24.06.2016

Chougule (skw)

and
l of
[Handwritten mark]

TRUE COPY

[Handwritten signature]
24/6/2016

(P.T.O.)

Asstt. Registrar/Research Officer
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal